## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,695	NG, LEONG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NORA M. ROONEY	1644	

	NORA M. ROONEY	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,16 and 17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>22-25</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	ation of Appeal will not	he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:			
	/Maher M. Haddad/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1644	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment filed on 11/11/2008 does not overcome the rejections of record and would require further consideration and search. Applicant's argument that the reply filed on 06/02/2008 was a Bona Fide attempt to comply with the Examiner's directive is unpersuasive. If such was the case, all replies to Office Actions and rejections would be Bona Fide attempts to comply with the Examiner's directives. Applicant's response filed on 06/02/2008 required consideration and search and as such the finality of the Office Action mailed on 08/28/2008 was proper and is maintained. Further, Applicant's amendments to the claims filed on 11/11/2008 would require further consideration and search because the scope of claims 1-7 and 16 have been broadened and all of the rejections in the Office Action mailed on 10/30/2007 would need to be reconsidered for applicability to the amended claims, especially newly added claims 26-30.